Case		3 Entered 09/18/23 06:05:02 Desc ge 1 of 15	
1 2 3 4 5	David Brian Lally, Esq., Bar No. 145872 Law Office of David B. Lally P.O. Box 355 Wilmington, New York 12997 Telephone 949-500-7409 Davidlallylaw@gmail.com Attorney with Defendant Jonathan Alan Stein		
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, NORTHERN DIVISION		
10	In Re:) CASE NO.: 9:23-bk-10174-RC) ADV. NO.: 9:23-ap-01023-RC	
11	JONATHAN ALAN STEIN,) CHAPTER: 7	
12	Debtor.) DECLARATION OF DAVID B. LALLY,) ESQ., IN SUPPORT OF ORDER	
13	GABRIELINO-TONGA TRIBE) GRANTING MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS) THE ATTORNEY OF RECORD FOR	
14	Plaintiff,) DEFENDANT)	
15	VS.) No Hearing Set	
16	JONATHAN ALAN STEIN,,)	
17	Defendant.		
18	TO: THE HONORABLE RONALD CLIFFORD, III, UNITED STATES		
19	BANKRUPTCY, AND DEFENDANT JONATHAN STEIN:		
20 21	1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in this State and before this Court, and I		
22	am an attorney for Defendant in this case. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth		
23	herein, and if called upon to testify, I could and would testify thereto. I have reviewed my file,		
24	the Court docket, my Motion to Withdraw, the Debtor's Petition, Schedules and Statement of		
25	Financial Affairs, and the documents filed in this case.		
26	2. On September 5, 2023, I filed and served a Motion to Withdraw as the attorney of		
27	record for Defendant and For an Extension of Time For Defendant to Respond to a 9011 Motion		
28	by Plaintiff ("Motion"), a true and correct copy of which is attached as Exhibit "1" and		
20		1	

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

incorporated by reference. The Motion is docket number 16. As part of that Motion, I requested shortened time to withdraw as Defendant's attorney of record, and an extension of time for Defendant to respond to a 9011 Motion Plaintiff served on Defendant and me.

3. The Motion further alleges:

"Please take further notice that any response and request for hearing as to the Motion must be in the form required by Local Bankruptcy Rules 2014-1(b) 9013-1(f) and (o) and filed with the Clerk of the Court within fourteen days (14) from the date of service of this Notice, and a copy served on Mr. Lally. Failure to timely respond may be deemed as acceptance of withdrawal by David B. Lally as Defendant's attorney of record. **IN THE ALTERNATIVE**, MR. LALLY SEEKS WITHDRAWAL AS DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY OF RECORD AS OF SEPTEMBER 12, 2023. This will afford Defendant sufficient time to locate new Counsel should he seek to do so."

"<u>IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MR. LALLY SEEKS WITHDRAWAL AS</u> **DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY OF RECORD AS OF SEPTEMBER 12, 2023.** This will afford Defendant sufficient time to locate new Counsel should he seek to do so."

4. As of September 18, 2023, I have not received a response or opposition to the Motion. I have since communicated with Defendant via email numerous times about the Motion and seeking new counsel, and he has been fully informed of the pending Motion. I also checked the Court docket and no opposition has been filed to my Motion. Accordingly, I am asking the Court to sign the Order I am filing in conjunction with this Declaration. Twelve days has passed since I filed and served my Motion to Withdraw, and I have served the Defendant with the Motion and now with this Declaration.

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. This Declaration was executed on September 18, 2023, at Wilmington, New York.

Dated: September 18, 2023 Law Office of David Brian Lally

> /s/ David B. Lally David Brian Lally, Esq.

28

Case 9:23-ap-01023-RC Doc 18 Filed 09/18/23 Entered 09/18/23 06:05:02 Desc Main Document Page 3 of 15

EXHIBIT 1

Failure to timely respond may be deemed as acceptance of withdrawal by David B. Lally as Defendant's attorney of record. IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MR. LALLY SEEKS

WITHDRAWAL AS DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY OF RECORD AS OF SEPTEMBER

12, 2023. This will afford Defendant sufficient time to locate new Counsel should he seek to do so.

NO HEARING IS SET OR NECESSARY IF NO OPPOSITION IS FILED. AND THE DEFENDANT, WHO IS AN ATTORNEY, HAS HAVE BEEN ADVISED TO SEEK NEW COUNSEL.

I.

INTRODUCTION

1. Defendant retained Mr. Lally to defend the Complaint filed by Plaintiff. However, certain circumstances now make it impossible for Mr. Lally to continue to represent Defendant. Mr. Lally and Defendant respectfully differ on various matters in this case, and hence Mr. Lally chooses to no longer represent Defendant. There is now an actual conflict of interest with Defendant, in part due to a 9011 Motion allegedly served on Mr. Lally and Defendant by Plaintiff and its Counsel. Additionally, the 9011 Motion has caused Mr. Lally to incur severe stress, rendering him unable to continue to represent Defendant. Moreover, Defendant needs additional time to respond to Plaintiff's 9011 Motion. Finally, Defendant does not allow Mr. Lally to handle the defense of this case, or to communicate with Plaintiff's counsel telephonically (which raises ethical issues for Mr. Lally). For all of these reasons, Mr. Lally seeks withdrawal as Defendant's attorney, and an extension to October 15, 2023, for Defendant to respond to the 9011 Motion of Plaintiff.

II.

THE FACTS

2. Defendant filed his Chapter 7 Petition on March 10, 2023. On August 8, 2023, Defendant retained Mr. Lally to defend a Dischargeability Complaint. Defendant signed a Retainer Agreement with Mr. Lally, and to that end, on August 9, 2023, Mr. Lally filed an Answer to the Complaint. In fact, Defendant drafted the Answer to the Complaint. Additionally,

10 11

9

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28 on August 14, 2023, Mr. Lally, on behalf of the Debtor and Defendant and with the Debtor as Co-Counsel, filed a Complaint against various creditors, including the Plaintiff in the Dischargeability case.

- Thereafter, the Debtor requested Mr. Lally to handle various matters in the 3. underlying Chapter 7 case. In that regard, on August 22, 2023, Mr. Lally filed a Notice of Association of Counsel (Docket #113). Subsequently, Mr. Lally realized that this case is much larger than expected, and as a solo practitioner he is unable to handle much of the work required in the Chapter 7 case and the two Adversary Proceedings. Additionally, with due respect to the Defendant, he and Mr. Lally have a different opinion about numerous issues in the Chapter 7 case and both Adversary Proceedings. This difference of opinion renders it impossible for Mr. Lally to continue to represent Defendant.
- Additionally, Plaintiff has sent, albeit by improper service, a 9011 Motion to Mr. 4. Lally and Defendant. However, service is defective. Nonetheless, this Motion raises facts not previously known to Mr. Lally. By way of one simple example, Mr. Lally did not previously know that numerous attorneys representing Defendant in the pre-petition Superior Court case have been sanctioned for taking a position promulgated by Defendant. Mr. Lally does not intend to be the latest sanctioned attorney representing Defendant. Additionally, this 9011 Motion, the first ever served on Mr. Lally in 33 years of practicing law, has caused severe stress, including significant loss of sleep. Mr. Lally is not going to subject himself to sanctions, and from a purely risk-analysis, he is removing himself from this case, completely,
- Moreover, Mr. Lally has now realized that this case, and other matters in this 5. Chapter 7 case, require two full time attorneys working on it. Mr. Lally is a solo practitioner and does not have nearly sufficient time to work on this case alone. All of the above has been communicated to Defendant. He has been advised to seek new Counsel. And this Motion, inter alia, seeks an extension of time to respond to the 9011 Motion, and to withdraw the Answer to the Complaint, and Defendant's Complaint in Adversary # 9:23-ap-01054-RC.
- Additionally, in the Superior Court, Defendant has been deemed a vexatious 6. litigant. That Order is rather ambiguous in that it does not have conditions or qualifications. So

 it is unclear if the Order applies in that case only, or to any case, including this Chapter 7 case and two pending Adversary Proceeding. It is Mr. Lally's belief that the Vexatious Litigant Order applies only in the Superior Court case. However, it appears that Defendant takes a different view, unbeknownst to Mr. Lally until now.

- 7. The 9011 Motion has created an actual conflict of interest between Mr. Lally and Defendant, such that Mr. Lally can no longer represent Defendant in any capacity and in any case. Mr. Lally will eventually withdraw without prejudice the Answer to the Complaint in this case so Defendant can file a new Answer, and will withdraw and dismiss without prejudice the Complaint in case ## 9:23-ap-01054-RC so Plaintiff there, if he chooses, can re-file it without Mr. Lally's name on it. All of this has been communicated to Defendant numerous times, and he has been advices he can file new pleadings any time he so chooses. Moreover, for other reasons that Mr. Lally may not be able to disclose at this juncture, there is an actual conflict of interest that Mr. Lally believes cannot be waived. And he would not waive the conflict of interest in any event. For reasons that have just come to light in the past week or so, there are reasons why Defendant has been deemed a vexatious litigant that were not previously known to Mr. Lally.
- 8. This Motion seeks a withdrawal by Mr. Lally of his representation of the Debtor. And this Motion seeks an extension of time to October 15, 2023, for Defendant to respond to the 9011 Motion if he so chooses. When the withdrawal of the Answer is made, Mr. Lally believes this absolves him of any potential sanctions, since the Answer is the allegedly offending document.

III.

THERE IS CAUSE TO GRANT THIS MOTION

- 9. Mr. Lally and Defendant have a difference of opinion with respect to numerous issues in this case. As a result, Mr. Lally cannot effectively represent Defendant, and chooses to no longer represent Defendant under the circumstances. This has nothing to do with the cooperation of Defendant, who has fully cooperated since he retained Mr. Lally. The difference of opinions is cause to withdraw as Defendant's attorney of record.
 - 10. Rule 3-700© of the California Rules of Professional Conduct provides, in part,

Case 9:23-ap-01023-RC

Doc 18

Filed 09/18/23

Entered 09/18/23 06:05:02

DECLARATION OF DAVID BRIAN LALLY

I, David Brian Lally, declare as follows:

- 12. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in this State and before this Court. I am the attorney of record for Defendant in this Adversary Proceeding. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and if called upon to testify, I could and would testify thereto. I have reviewed my file, the Court dockets for this Chapter 7 case and Adversary Proceeding, the Debtor's Petition, Schedules and Statement of Financial Affairs, and the documents filed in this Chapter 7 case and various documents filed in the Superior Court case.
- Defendant retained me to defend the Complaint filed by Plaintiff. However, certain circumstances now make it impossible for me to continue to represent Defendant. Defendant and I respectfully differ on various matters in this case, and hence I choose to no longer represent Defendant. There is now an actual conflict of interest with Defendant, in part due to a 9011 Motion allegedly served on Defendant and me by Plaintiff and its Counsel. Additionally, the 9011 Motion has caused me to incur severe stress, rendering me unable to continue to represent Defendant. Moreover, Defendant needs additional time to respond to Plaintiff's 9011 Motion. Finally, Defendant does not allow me to handle the defense of this case, or to communicate with Plaintiff's counsel telephonically (which raises ethical issues for me). For all of these reasons, I am seeking to withdraw as Defendant's attorney, and an extension to October 15, 2023, for Defendant to respond to the 9011 Motion of Plaintiff.
- 14. Debtor and Defendant filed his Chapter 7 Petition on March 10, 2023. On August 8, 2023, Defendant retained me to defend this Dischargeability Complaint. Defendant signed a Retainer Agreement with me, and to that end, on August 9, 2023, I filed an Answer to the Complaint based on the information provided to me by the Defendant. In fact, Defendant drafted the Answer to the Complaint. Additionally, on August 14, 2023, I, on behalf of the Debtor and Defendant, filed a Complaint against various creditors, including the Plaintiff in the Dischargeability case. The Debtor drafted that Complaint as well.
- 15. Thereafter, the Debtor requested me to handle various matters in the underlying Chapter 7 case. In that regard, on August 22, 2023, I filed a Notice of Association of

 Counsel (Docket #113). Subsequently, I realized that this case is much larger than expected, and as a solo practitioner I am unable to handle much of the work required in the Chapter 7 case and the two Adversary Proceedings. Additionally, with due respect to the Defendant, he and I have a different opinion about numerous issues in the Chapter 7 case and both Adversary Proceedings. This difference of opinion renders it impossible for me to continue to represent Defendant.

- Defendant and me. However, service is defective. Nonetheless, the 9011 Motion raises facts not previously known to me. By way of one simple example, I did not previously know that numerous attorneys representing Defendant in the pre-petition Superior Court case have been sanctioned for taking a position promulgated by Defendant. I do not intend to be the latest sanctioned attorney representing Defendant. Additionally, this 9011 Motion, the first ever served on me in 33 years of practicing law, has caused <u>severe stress</u>, including significant loss of sleep. I am is not going to subject myself to sanctions, and from a purely risk-analysis, I am removing myself from this case and the Chapter 7 case, completely.
- 17. Moreover, I have now realized that this case, and other matters in this Chapter 7 case, require two full time attorneys working on it. I am a solo practitioner and do not have nearly sufficient time to work on this case alone. All of the above has been communicated to Defendant. He has been advised to seek new Counsel. And this Motion, inter alia, seeks an extension of time to respond to the 9011 Motion, and to withdraw the Answer to the Complaint, and withdraw Defendant's Complaint in Adversary # 9:23-ap-01054-RC. These are the two offending documents referred to in the 9011 Motion.
- 18. Additionally, in the Superior Court, Defendant has been deemed a vexatious litigant. That Order is rather ambiguous in that it does not have conditions or qualifications. So it is unclear if the Order applies in that case only, or to any case, including this Chapter 7 case and two pending Adversary Proceedings. It is my belief that the Vexatious Litigant Order applies only in the Superior Court case. However, it appears that Defendant takes a different view, unbeknownst to me until now.
 - 19. The 9011 Motion has created an actual conflict of interest between Defendant and

me, such that I can no longer represent Defendant in any capacity and in any case. I will eventually withdraw without prejudice the Answer to the Complaint in this case so Defendant can file a new Answer, and will withdraw and dismiss without prejudice the Complaint in Adversary # 9:23-ap-01054-RC so Plaintiff there, if he chooses, can re-file it without my name on it. All of this has been communicated to Defendant numerous times, and he has been advised he can file new pleadings any time he so chooses. Moreover, for other reasons that I may not be able to disclose at this juncture, there is an actual conflict of interest that I firmly believe cannot be waived. And I would not waive the conflict of interest in any event. For reasons that have just come to light in the past week or so, there are reasons why Defendant has been deemed a vexatious litigant that were not previously known to me.

This Motion seeks a withdrawal by me of my representation of Defendant. And 20. this Motion seeks an extension of time to October 15, 2023, for Defendant to respond to the 9011 Motion if he so chooses. When the withdrawal of the Answer is made, I believe this absolves me of any potential sanctions, since the Answer is the allegedly offending document

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. This Declaration was executed at Wilmington, New York.

Dated: September 5, 2023

Law Office of David Brian Lally

/s/ David B. Lally

David Brian Lally, Esq.

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is:

P.O. Box 355, Wilmington, New York 12997

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled (*specify*): NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS THE ATTORNEY OF RECORD FOR DEFENDANT JONATHAN STEIN, AND DECLARATION OF DAVID B. LALLY, ESQ. IN SUPPORT will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:

1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On 9/5/2023 I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below: Joseph Chora for Plaintiff Gabrielino-Tongva Tribe joseph@chorayoungllp.com, CChora@choralaw.com, Sarah Rose Hasselberger for Interested Party Courtesy NEF shasselberger@marshackhays.com, hasselberger@ecf.courtdrive.com;cbastida@marshackhays.com;kfrederick@ecf.courtdrive.com D Edward Hays for Interested Party Courtesy NEF ehays@marshackhays.com, ehays@ecf.courtdrive.com; kfrederick@ecf.courtdrive.com;cmendoza@marshackhays.com;cmendoza@ecf.courtdrive.com David B Lally on behalf of Defendant Jonathan Alan Stein davidlallylaw@gmail.com Armen Manasserian for Plaintiff Gabrielino-Tongva Tribe armen@cym.law, jennifer@cym.law, ameron@cym.law; pauk@cym.law, Laila Masud on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF, Imasud@marshackhays.com, imasud@ecf.courtdrive.com;kfrederick@ecf.courtdrive.com, Jerry Namba (TR) jnambaepiq@earthlink.net, inambalaw@yahoo.com;jn01@trustesolutions.net;paknamba@gmail.com, Jonathan Stein forf Defendant Jonathan Alan Stein jonathans@knightlaw.com Service information continued on attached page 2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL: , I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed. Plaintiff/Debtor Jonathan Stein 2520 Murrell Road. Santa Barbara, CA 93109 Service information continued on attached page 3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (state method for each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on (date) following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed. Service information continued on attached page I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. /s/ David B. Lally, Esq. David Brian Lally, Esq. 9/5/2023 Signature Printed Name Date This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

F 9013-3.1.PROOF.SERVICE

Case 9:23-ap-01023-RC Doc 18 Filed 09/18/23 Entered 09/18/23 06:05:02 Desc Main Document Page 13 of 15

File a Motion:

9:23-ap-01023-RC Gabrielino-Tongva Tribe v. Stein

Type: ap Office: 9 (Santa Barbara) Judge: RC

Lead Case: 9-23-bk-10174 Case Flag: 727OBJ

U.S. Bankruptcy Court

Central District of California

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was received from David B Lally entered on 9/5/2023 at 12:39 PM PDT and filed on 9/5/2023

Case Name: Gabrielino-Tongva Tribe v. Stein

Case Number: 9:23-ap-01023-RC

Document Number: 16

Docket Text:

Motion to Withdraw as Attorney Notice of Motion and Motion to Withdraw as the Defendants Attorney of Record For Defendant Jonathan Stein, and For an Extension of Time For Defendant to Respond to a 9011 Motion by Plaintiff, with Proof of Service Filed by Attorney David Lally (Lally, David)

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description: Main Document

Original filename: Stein mtn WD adversary 23-01023.pdf

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP bkecfStamp_ID=1106918562 [Date=9/5/2023] [FileNumber=104800285-0] [4264cdf804cb1bce8aa97243fb61bbd14da8f8a5c1092b6522d13dc398e8ddca85 8a946ff2f213a6cf70afedd21b287eff1dbf3ade69f3bed0d80f96a7053aad]]

9:23-ap-01023-RC Notice will be electronically mailed to:

Joseph Chora on behalf of Plaintiff Gabrielino-Tongva Tribe joseph@chorayoungllp.com, CChora@choralaw.com

Sarah Rose Hasselberger on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF shasselberger@marshackhays.com, shasselberger@ecf.courtdrive.com;cbastida@marshackhays.com;kfrederick@ecf.courtdrive.com

D Edward Hays on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF

ehays@marshackhays.com,

ehays@ecf.courtdrive.com;kfrederick@ecf.courtdrive.com;cmendoza@marshackhays.com;cmendoza@ecf.courtdrive.com

David B Lally on behalf of Attorney David Lally davidlallylaw@gmail.com

David B Lally on behalf of Defendant Jonathan Alan Stein davidlallylaw@gmail.com

Armen Manasserian on behalf of Plaintiff Gabrielino-Tongva Tribe armen@cym.law, jennifer@cym.law;cameron@cym.law;paul@cym.law

Laila Masud on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF lmasud@marshackhays.com, lmasud@ecf.courtdrive.com;kfrederick@ecf.courtdrive.com

Jerry Namba (TR)

Case 9:23-ap-01023-RC Doc 18 Filed 09/18/23 Entered 09/18/23 06:05:02 Desc Main Document Page 14 of 15

jnambaepiq@earthlink.net, jnambalaw@yahoo.com;jn01@trustesolutions.net;paknamba@gmail.com

Jonathan Stein on behalf of Defendant Jonathan Alan Stein jonathans@knightlaw.com

Nikko Salvatore Stevens on behalf of Plaintiff Gabrielino-Tongva Tribe nikko@cym.law, mandi@cym.law

United States Trustee (ND) ustpregion16.nd.ecf@usdoj.gov

Paul P Young on behalf of Plaintiff Gabrielino-Tongva Tribe paul@cym.law, jaclyn@cym.law

9:23-ap-01023-RC Notice will not be electronically mailed to:

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is:

P.O. Box 355, Wilmington, New York 12997

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled (*specify*): DECLARATION OF DAVID B. LALLY, ESQ., IN SUPPORT OF ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS THE ATTORNEY OF RECORD FOR DEFENDANT will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:

Orders and LBR, 9/18/2023 , following persons	the foregoing document will be served the CM/ECF docket for this are on the Electronic Mail Notice List	F ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General ed by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On a bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the st to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:
Hasselberger for cbastida@marshachays@marshachays@marshachachachachachachachachachachachachach	Interested Party Courtesy NEF shas ackhays.com; kfrederick@ecf.courtdr khays.com, ehays@ecf.courtdrive.cocourtdrive.com, David B Lally on behian for Plaintiff Gabrielino-Tongva Traila Masud on behalf of Interested Prtdrive.com; kfrederick@ecf.courtdriveoc.com; pol@trustesolutions.net; pal	seph@chorayoungllp.com, CChora@choralaw.com, Sarah Rose selberger@marshackhays.com, hasselberger@ecf.courtdrive.com; ive.com. D Edward Hays for Interested Party Courtesy NEF im; kfrederick@ecf.courtdrive.com; cmendoza@marshackhays.com; alf of Defendant Jonathan Alan Stein davidlallylaw@gmail.com ibe armen@cym.law, jennifer@cym.law; ameron@cym.law; arty Courtesy NEF, lmasud@marshackhays.com, e.com, Jerry Namba (TR) jnambaepiq@earthlink.net, cnamba@gmail.com, Jonathan Stein forf Defendant Jonathan Alan
		 Service information continued on attached page
On <u>9/18/2023</u> or adversary proc class, postage pr	ceeding by placing a true and correct	and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first ting the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge ument is filed.
Plaintiff/Debtor Jo	onathan Stein 2520 Murrell Road, Sa	nta Barbara, CA 93109
		☐ Service information continued on attached page
for each person of following persons such service met	or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Ci s and/or entities by personal delivery hod), by facsimile transmission and/o	AT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (state method v.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on (date), I served the overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration ge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is
		☐ Service information continued on attached page
l declare under p	enalty of perjury under the laws of th	e United States that the foregoing is true and correct.
9/18/2023	David Brian Lally, Esq.	/s/ David B. Lally, Esq.
Date	Printed Name	Signature

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.